UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION	
10/518,306	07/12/2005	Pierre Mellier	90500-000038/US 6674	
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8910	·	PARDO, THUY N		
RESTON, VA	20195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	o. Applicant(s)				
		10/518,30	06	MELLIER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thuy N. P		2168				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no evolu- incid will apply and wi atute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 December 2	ากล					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 December 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election re	equirement.					
	on Papers							
	• The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner						
•			Objected to by the F	Examiner				
.0/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
					FR 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	-	aian priority un	der 35 II S.C. & 110(a)	-(d) or (f)				
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a)	_	ents have hee	n received					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
					Stane			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
_								
Attachmen			0	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Amendment filed December 23, 2008 in response to Examiner's Office Action has been reviewed. Claims 1-6 are pending in the application, Claims 1-6 are amended. This Office Action is made Final.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded that this application does not contain a (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tantawy et al. (Hereinafter "Tantawy") US Patent No. 6,597,891 in view of Klarfeld et al. (Hereinafter "Klarfeld") US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0067554.

Referring to claim 1, Tantawy teaches a method for validating broadcasting conditions of digital content [delivery of digital content removes several barrier to pirating and unauthorizing

Art Unit: 2168

over the Internet, col. 1, lines 21-55] by using a diffuser having a first set of data describing a structural configuration of a broadcast [selection identifier and address of an appropriate server selected from the user, col. 6, lines 31-39], a second set of data describing operational conditions of the diffuser [contents, schedules and times from Digital content store, col. 6, lines 29-64] and a third set of data describing conditions defined by a supplier of the digital content including operational conditions and structural conditions [The Broadcast Control Center 6 transmits secured containers containing Digital Content packets 12 via wire and wireless telecommunications networks as sequences of packets to the Filter Module 4, col. 4, lines 10-15], the method comprising:

receiving the third set of data defined by the supplier [contents, schedules and times from Digital content store, col. 6, lines 29-64] for at least one digital content and storing the third set of data in a database of the diffuser [digital content store 103 of fig. 1], operational conditions of the broadcast by introducing at least the time and date of the broadcast in order to set the second set of data [together with tuning information and assembly information and at schedule time to filter the request digital content, col. 6, lines 39-64],

extracting from the database, the third set of data related to the digital content [digital content packet, col. 4, lines 18-27; packet ID for collecting from all required conditions, col. 9, lines 1 to col. 10, lines 54],

verifying, for the digital content based on the third set of data, the broadcast conditions set forth in the first set of data and the second set of data [filtering the desired packet packets out of the multiplexed broadcast stream, col. 5, lines 7-9], and

emitting a corresponding report on the verification step [send a FIN (Finish) message to the Broadcast Control Center, col. 5, lines 13-15].

However, Tantawy does not explicitly teach preparing the broadcast of the digital content by establishing a structural configuration of the broadcast by introducing characteristics of different equipments intended for broadcasting in order to set the first set of data.

Klarfeld teaches preparing the broadcast of the digital content by establishing a structural configuration of the broadcast [see fig. 1; sample for selection record, fig. 13-14] by introducing characteristics of different equipments intended for broadcasting in order to set the first set of data [different set of programs for different demographic characteristics, 0131; 0142; sending advertisements on different channels or digital multiplex streams, using different delivery mediums, 3070; 1787-1789; 1805].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to add the feature of Klarfeld to the system of Tantawy as an essential means to increase the quality of the broadcast validation by providing a number of contents available for viewers in accordance with the viewer profiles.

Referring to claim 2, Tantawy and Klarfeld teach the invention substantially as claimed. Klarfeld further teaches a surveillance step of an effective diffusion time and date, and a structural configuration of the diffuser [0199; 0205; 0213-0214].

Referring to claim 3, Tantawy and Klarfeld teach the invention substantially as claimed. Tantawy further teaches a verification step of a diffusion of a digital content on the basis of effective diffusion parameters [packet ID; connection ID, col. 10, lines 35-36].

Referring to claim 4, Tantawy and Klarfeld teach the invention substantially as claimed. Tantawy further teaches inputting an storing the third set of data by the supplier on a secured medium [103 of fig. 1], and transmitting the medium to the diffuser to be electronically transferred into its database [col. 3, lines 50-61; col. 9, lines 25-54].

Referring to claim 5, Tantawy and Klarfeld teach the invention substantially as claimed. Tantawy further teaches storing report electronically, and transmitting the report to the supplier [col. 3, lines 50-61; col. 9, lines 25-54].

Referring to claim 6, Tantawy and Klarfeld teach the invention substantially as claimed. Tantawy further teaches that the diffuser has an electronic signature and wherein the electronic report is signed by the electronic signature [send a FIN (Finish) message to the Broadcast Control Center, col. 5, lines 13-15 with "-1: error" or "0: success"].

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Tantawy does not teach the new added feature of introducing characteristics of different equipments intended for broadcasting in order to set the first set of data. Examiner believes that Tantawy teaches the structural configuration of the broadcast, with the exception of teaching introducing characteristics of different equipments intended for broadcasting in order to set the first set of data. However, Klartfeld teaches introducing characteristics of different equipments intended for broadcasting in order to set the first set of data by using different set of programs for different demographic characteristics [0131; 0142] and sending advertisements on different channels or digital multiplex streams, using different delivery mediums to the first set of data as a structural configuration of the broadcast [see 3070; 1787-1789; 1805].

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/518,306 Page 7

Art Unit: 2168

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thuy N. Pardo whose telephone number is 571-272-4082. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached on 571-272-3642. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thuy N. Pardo/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168